APPROVED MINUTES MAY 27, 2004 CITY OF GUNNISON – ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENTS AND APPEALS REGULAR MEETING

MEMBERS PRESENT/ABSENT

JUDY KLINKER, CHAIRPERSON	${f X}$
CARL PICKETT, VICE CHAIR	${f X}$
MARIAN HICKS	${f X}$
WES BAILEY	${f X}$
MARLA LARSON	X

OTHERS PRESENT: PLANNING TECHNICIAN KIM ANTONUCCI, STAFF MEMBERERICK SOWELL, CHARLES PUSEY, DODY PUSEY AND JOHN McCLOUD AND OTHER INTERESTED CITIZENS.

I. CALL TO ORDER BY CHAIRPERSON – 7:00 PM

II. CONSIDERATION OF MINUTES

A. April 21, 2004

Board Member Bailey moved to accept the April 21, 2004 minutes.

Seconded by Hicks

Roll Call Vote Yes: Hicks, Bailey, Klinker, Pickett

Roll Call Vote No: None.

Motion carried

B. May 6, 2004

Board Member Hicks moved to accept the May 6, 2006 minutes with a minor correction.

Seconded by Bailey

Roll Call Vote Yes: Bailey, Klinker, Pickett, Hicks

Roll Call Vote No: None

Motion carried

III. VA 04-1, CHARLES PUSEY

CONTINUATION OF PUBLIC HEARING

Proof of publication of the continued hearing was provided by the Gunnison Country Times.

STAFF PRESENTATION- NEW MATERIAL

Staff Sowell updated Board. Essentially recommending approval and sighting the findings of fact, prefacing the recommendation that the variance due to fire code. Fire code has a greater importance and should have a superior position, based on this statement, Staff is recommending approval. Staff does acknowledge that the P&Z Commission does have final approval.

CLOSE PUBLIC HEARING

BOARD ACTION

Vice Chair Pickett presented observations and finding of fact prior to making a motion. Pickett moved that the board approve this variance request for the uncovered parking and access drives to be allowed at 36.7% of the land use, with the following findings and conditions: Findings:

- 1. The special circumstances or a condition which are peculiar to a small unit storage facility is that it requires far more perimeter vehicle access than other facilities in the neighboring industrial zone.
- 2. The required access driveway widths between buildings for this storage facility have not resulted from any act of the applicant.
- 3. Strict application of the permitted uncovered access/driveways would deprive the applicant of reasonable use of the buildings planned for the site. Also, realignment of the structures could create additional winter hazards.
- 4. The variance is required to provide complete access to all storage units.
- 5. The applicant's revised request before this board is the minimum variance necessary to provide that required access.
- 6. The granting of this variance will not be injurious to the neighborhood; in fact, the neighbors have supported this application.
- 7. The granting of this variance is consistent with the land development code, including compliance with the required width of fire lanes.

Conditions:

- 1. That the exterior lighting on the buildings along 10th street and the alley comply with the city code.
- 2. That the cities' right-of-way and alley being used as access to storage units be maintained and plowed by the applicant.

Seconded by Bailey

Roll Call Vote Yes: Klinker, Pickett, Hicks, Bailey

Roll Call Vote No: None

Motion carried.

IV. ADJOURN-7:24pm